· U.S. Serial No. 09/827,302

Filed: April 5, 2001

Amendment and Response to Office Action dated March 9, 2004

Page 4 of 8

**REMARKS** 

The present invention is directed to methods and compositions for the treatment of

cancer comprising the administration of neuraminidase. In particular, the present invention

is directed to methods and compositions for treating cancer wherein the effective amount of

the neuraminidase is extremely low.

After entry of this amendment, Claims 1-2, 4-9 and 13-17 will be pending. Based on

the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the

pending claims. Claims 1 and 17 have been amended. Support for this amendment is found

throughout the specification and particularly at page 2, lines 22-23 and page 5, lines 12-15.

No new matter is introduced by the amendments.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph (written description)

In the March 9, 2004 Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 4-9 and 13-16 were rejected under

35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the

specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that the inventor

had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection to the

extent that it is applied to the claims as amended.

The claims have been amended to remove the rejected phrase "wherein the

composition does not contain tumor cells". Therefore the rejection is moot, and withdrawal

of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph (enablement)

In the March 9, 2004 Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 4-9 and 13-17 were rejected under

35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not being enabled. Applicant respectfully traverses this

rejection to the extent that it is applied to the claims as amended.

The Examiner provided five articles to support an allegation that treatment of cancer

is "highly unreliable and not yet achieved" and therefore the claimed method is non-enabled.

ATLLIB02 134977.4

· U.S. Serial No. 09/827,302 Filed: April 5, 2001

Amendment and Response to Office Action dated March 9, 2004

Page 5 of 8

Applicant respectfully disagrees. Applicant submits that the cited articles are between 5 and

14 years old, and that they would necessarily be considered outdated by one skilled in the art.

Since the publication of the articles, significant advances have been made in the area of

cancer treatment.

With the millions of research dollars that are spent studying the pathology and

treatment of cancer, the knowledge of cancer and development of cancer treatments is

increasing exponentially daily. A very recent report from the American Cancer Society

(July 2004)<sup>1</sup> describes how survival rates have increased dramatically in the last 30 years

according to a report published by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and

the National Cancer Institute (NCI). "The report attributed the improvements in survival to

several factors, including better treatments, better prevention of cancer recurrences and

secondary cancers, and lower death rates from other causes." (emphasis added)

"The percentage of people in the entire population who die of any type of cancer --

the death rate -- has been decreasing since the early 1990s. Death rates have declined for 11

of the top 15 cancers in men and 8 of the top 15 cancers in women." Clearly the survivors

and their families would disagree with the Examiner's assertion that treatment of cancer has

not yet been achieved.

Furthermore a search of the U.S. Patent Office database of issued patents yielded at

least 1,633 issued patents with the terms "method", "treatment" and "cancer" in the claims.

It is unlikely that 1,633 U.S. patents are not enabled on the basis that "treatment of cancer is

highly unreliable and not yet achieved".

The present claims are directed to a method for treating a human with cancer by

administering a composition of neuraminidase. Details of the dosage amount and

administration protocols are clearly described in detail on pages 4-6 of the specification.

Furthermore, and contrary to the Office Action, Applicant has successfully reduced to

practice the claimed method. "A single working example in the specification for a claimed

<sup>1</sup> "More People Surviving Cancer", http://www.cancer.org/docroot/NWS (copy provided herewith).

ATLLIB02 134977.4

· U.S. Serial No. 09/827,302

Filed: April 5, 2001

Amendment and Response to Office Action dated March 9, 2004

Page 6 of 8

invention is enough to preclude a rejection which states that nothing is enabled since at least

that embodiment would be enabled." MPEP 2164.02

Applicant has provided five examples in the specification that disclose successful

treatment of cancer with the claimed method. Example 4 discloses the successful treatment

of prostate, testicular, intestinal, liver and pancreatic cancer. Example 5 discloses the

successful treatment of Lung and liver cancer. Examples 6 and 7 disclose the successful

treatment of inoperable brain tumors. Example 8 discloses the successful treatment of

lymphoma. The Declaration of Dr. Kline (November 26, 2003) filed with the response dated

December 2, 2003 discusses eight patients that were successfully treated using the claimed

method.

The claimed method is described in sufficient detail to enable one of skill in the art to

use the claimed method with a reasonable expectation of success. The level of skill in the art

is high, explicit doses and formulations are provided as is the presence of working examples.

A detailed analysis of the factors for enablement dictated in *In re Wands* 858 F.2d 731, 737,

8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988) clearly provide enough support that the disclosure

satisfies the enablement requirement. The legal standard has been met and accordingly,

applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

In the March 9, 2004 Office Action, Claim 17 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Sedlacek '86 or Sedlacek '87, in view of Green, Kline '133 or

Kline '863. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection to the extent that it is applied to

the claims as amended.

"To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria

must be met. First, there must be some suggestion of motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of

ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference

teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all

the claims limitations." (MPEP 2143)

ATLLIB02 134977.4

· U.S. Serial No. 09/827,302

Filed: April 5, 2001

Page 7 of 8

Amendment and Response to Office Action dated March 9, 2004

Both Sedlacek 1986 and 1987 employ the chessboard vaccination method to administer neuraminidase with mitomycin-treated tumor cells as follows.

"For chessboard vaccination, increasing numbers of tumor cells were combined with increasing amounts of VCN, resulting in multiple combinations of tumor cells and VCN. All these combinations were simultaneously and separately, injected intradermally (i.d.) into the tumor bearing animal. (Sedlacek 1987, p. 842)"

This administration protocol clearly describes injection of variable concentrations of tumor cells in combination with neuraminidase at a single time point, not a dosing regimen to treat cancer. The claims of the present application have been amended herein to reflect this element and describe a multiple dose/day administration protocol for treatment and maintenance phases.

A multiple dose/day administration treatment protocol would not be obvious in view of the disclosure of either Sedlacek reference. Sedlacek discloses a vaccination protocol (See abstracts for both references). There would be no reason to immunize an animal more than once a day because the immune system would still be coping with the initial injection. One of ordinary skill would not be motivated to administer the neuraminidase composition as disclosed by Sedlacek multiple times a day. The claimed dosing regimen provides an effective amount of neuraminidase in the circulation for a longer period than disclosed by Green and Kline '133 and Kline '863 to not disclose multi-dose/day Sedlacek. administration of neuraminidase or provide a reason why multiple daily doses of neuraminidase would be preferable. All claim elements are not disclosed by the prior art nor made obvious. Absent the teachings of the present specification, one of ordinary skill would not be motivated to combine the cited references and arrive at the claimed method. The legal standard is met.

Applicant: Kline, E.L.
U.S. Serial No. 09/827,302

Filed: April 5, 2001

Amendment and Response to Office Action dated March 9, 2004

Page 8 of 8

## **CONCLUSION**

The foregoing is a complete response to the Office Action mailed March 9, 2004. Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for immediate allowance. An early notification is earnestly solicited. No additional fees are believed due; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 11-0855. If the Examiner has any questions, or further issues remain to be resolved, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at (404) 745-2463.

Respectfully submitted,

Sima Singadia Kulkarni

Reg. No. 43,732

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP Suite 2800 1100 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530 (404) 815-6500

Attorney Docket No. 13395-0101 (44448/256971)



## **ACS News Center**

print 🗐 close 🔀

## More People Surviving Cancer

Report Counts Nearly 10 Million US Survivors

Article date: 2004/07/14

The past 30 years have seen a steady increase in the number of cancer patients who survive the disease, according to a recent report by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the National Cancer Institute (NCI).



In 2001, 9.8 million Americans were cancer survivors, the report said, while in 1971 just 3 million people fell into that category. The agencies used data from a national disease registry, the NCI's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program, to compile their statistics.

The report attributed the improvements in survival to several factors, including better treatments, better prevention of cancer recurrences and secondary cancers, and lower death rates from other causes. Earlier diagnosis of the disease because of wider screening is also important, but the researchers noted that some people may be living longer with cancer simply because they were diagnosed earlier, not because their outcomes are actually better.

The new report comes on the heels of another major study of cancer trends, the Annual Report to the Nation on the Status of Cancer, prepared by the American Cancer Society, CDC, NCI, and the North American Association of Central Cancer Registries.

That report also found improvements in survival, and lower death rates and incidence rates for many cancers.

The percentage of people in the entire population who die of any type of cancer -- the death rate -- has been decreasing since the early 1990s. Death rates have declined for 11 of the top 15 cancers in men and 8 of the top 15 cancers in women.

Survival rates -- the percentage of people who get cancer and survive at least 5 years -- have also improved substantially for most of the top 15 cancer sites in men and women.

One of the most promising findings of the report was that the lung cancer incidence rate is declining in women for the first time. And lung cancer deaths among women have stabilized, after increasing for many years.

Not all Americans are benefiting from the improvements in cancer detection and treatment, however. The report found wide disparities in survival among racial and ethnic minorities.

Nevertheless, public health experts said the recent findings are hopeful signs in the fight against cancer. The challenge now, the CDC/NCI report said, is to find better ways to help cancer survivors and their families through better understanding of the long-term health and social consequences of surviving cancer.

ACS News Center stories are provided as a source of cancer-related news and are not intended to be used as <u>press releases</u>.

## USPTO PATENT FULL-TEXT AND IMAGE DATABASE

<u>Home</u>	<u>Quick</u>	Advanced	Pat Num	<u>Help</u>
	Next List	<u>Bottom</u>	View Cart	

Searching 1976 to present...

Results of Search in 1976 to present db for: ((ACLM/method AND ACLM/cancer) AND ACLM/treatment): 1633 patents. Hits 1 through 50 out of 1633

Next 50 Hit	s
Jump To	

ACLM/method AND ACLM/cancer AND ACLM/treatm Refine Search

PAT. NO.

Title

- 1 6,767,926 Method for protecting normal cells from cytotoxicity of chemotherapeutic agents
- 2 6.765.104 Transition metal complexes of n, n',n"trialkyl-cis-1,3,5-triaminocyclohexane and related compositions and methods of synthesis and use
- 3 6,765,013 Thiazolidinedione derivatives for the treatment of diabetes and other diseases
- 4 6,765,008 Pyrrolopyrimidines as CRF antagonists
- 5 6,762,205 Phenyl sulfamate derivatives
- 6 6,762,198 T Dihetero-substituted metalloprotease inhibitors
- 7 6.762,192 Tetrahydronaphthyridinyl-carboxamides having anti-convulsant activity
- 8 6,759,509 The Branched peptide linkers
- 9 6,759,426 T 3-(Imidazolyl)-2-aminopropanoic acids
- 10 6,759,197 II Microchip arrays of regulatory genes
- 11 6,759,064 T Compositions based on vanilloid-catechin synergies for prevention and treatment of cancer
- 12 <u>6,756,504</u> **T** Sphingolipids
- 13 6,756,399 II Use of lipoxygenase inhibitors and PPAR ligands as anti-cancer therapeutic and intervention agents
- 14 6,753,340 (4,2-disubstituted-thiazol-5-yl)amine compounds as PDE7 inhibitors
- 15 6,752,990 II High affinity humanized anti-TAG-72 monoclonal antibodies
- 16 6,750,220 Amine salt of an integrin receptor antagonist
- 17 6,750,217 Benzenesulfonamide derivatives and their use as MEK inhibitors
- 18 6,750,215 III Substituted benzoxazines as integrin antagonists